

# Quantitative grain size evaluation using ultrasonic backscattered echoes

Jafar Sanie

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois 60616

Nihat M. Bilgutay

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

(Received 11 August 1985; accepted for publication 2 September 1986)

Grain size characterization using ultrasonic backscattered signals is an important problem in nondestructive testing of materials. In this paper, a heuristic model which relates the statistical characteristics of the measured signal to the mean ultrasonic wavelet and attenuation coefficient in different regions of the sample is investigated. The losses in the backscattered signal are examined using temporal averaging, correlation, and probability distribution functions of the segmented data. Furthermore, homomorphic processing is used in a novel application to estimate the mean ultrasonic wavelet (as it propagates through the sample) and the frequency-dependent attenuation. In the work presented, heat-treated stainless steel samples with various grain sizes are examined. The processed experimental results support the feasibility of the grain size evaluation techniques presented here using the backscattered grain signal.

PACS numbers: 43.20.Fn, 43.35.Cg, 43.35.Zc

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of grain size estimation as a means of determining the structural and mechanical properties of materials, as well as for controlling the manufacturing tolerances during fabrication of metal and ceramic parts, has long been recognized by industry. The literature survey indicates that ultrasonic evaluation of grain size is the most practical and widely used nondestructive technique in current manufacturing applications. Two major ultrasonic grain size estimation techniques have been developed in recent years which have met with a reasonable degree of success<sup>1-10</sup>: (i) techniques based on attenuation measurements; and (ii) techniques based on scattering measurements.

Attenuation measurements led the way in the development of ultrasonic grain size estimation techniques early on. The scattering measurement techniques, which require considerable signal processing, have only appeared in recent years. However, with the increasing availability of powerful and cost-effective data acquisition and computing capabilities, scattering measurements are fast becoming the trend in ultrasonic grain size estimation.

Most studies dealing with nondestructive grain size estimation have been based on attenuation measurement techniques using a transmission or reflection mode testing. A number of early studies examined the correlation between attenuation and material characteristics based on the decay of the pulse amplitude using reflections received from the back surface of a sample consisting of two parallel surfaces.<sup>1-3</sup> Grain size estimation techniques based on attenuation measurements have several limitations<sup>4</sup>: (i) Flat and parallel surfaces are essential for accurate measurements in order to avoid complex corrections; (ii) since the attenuation coefficient represents an average value over the total

sound path, local variations which can greatly alter the attenuation coefficient cannot be evaluated; and (iii) attenuation measurements are sensitive to the coupling between the transducer and sample, which can lead to losses even greater than the attenuation in the material.

Despite the above factors, attenuation measurement techniques find wide use in practical applications since they provide an integrated estimate for the grain size in a relatively simple fashion. These techniques allow samples with different microstructure to be quickly analyzed and qualitatively characterized when experimental conditions are carefully maintained.

More recently, an alternative approach using backscattered echoes from grain measurements (i.e., scattering measurements) has been utilized to determine the attenuation in materials with respect to depth or frequency. The direct characterization of the backscattered signal yields information pertaining to variations in the scattered energy as a function of depth and, hence, the grain size distribution. These techniques can be applied to samples which result in grain boundary echoes that are visible above the received noise level (i.e., for large grained samples and/or for high SNR systems).

Some of the earlier work in grain size estimation using ultrasonic scattering measurements was performed by Beechman<sup>5</sup> and Fay.<sup>6,7</sup> Based on the principle that ultrasonic waves traveling in solids are subject to scattering and absorption losses, Fay was able to demonstrate that the attenuation of backscattered echoes with depth is related to the average grain size of the specimen. Goebels *et al.*<sup>4,8,9</sup> altered and refined Fay's technique to more accurately determine the amplitude of the backscattered echoes with respect to depth by utilizing various averaging techniques, namely,

spatial, directional, and frequency averaging.

In the previous work summarized above, the most promising grain size characterization techniques have been based on information obtained from a relatively large region of the sample as necessitated by ensemble averaging. Our objective is to evaluate grain echoes using a single  $A$ -scan rather than to average multiple measurements obtained by changing the position, orientation, or frequency of the transducer.<sup>8,9</sup> The single  $A$ -scan is more practical and efficient for ultrasonic testing. In fact, in some situations, the geometry of the object interferes with or prohibits the use of multiple measurements. Furthermore, if the penetration of the ultrasonic energy is position or orientation dependent, an assessment of this variation is necessary and must be compensated prior to averaging. Finally, and most importantly, the use of a single measurement reveals information confined to a smaller region of the sample relative to the average of multiple measurements, which displays integrated information pertaining to a broader region of the sample. With this in mind, the paper focuses on the methods for evaluating grain size by coupling a mathematical model of backscattered echoes with suitable digital signal processing techniques. The backscattered grain signal is represented by a heuristic model based on the mean ultrasonic wavelet and the grain characteristic function. Various methods have been applied in order to assess losses in the backscattered signal. The grain signals were evaluated quantitatively using both time and frequency domain processing techniques. In particular, a homomorphic processing technique was used in a novel application to estimate frequency-dependent attenuation.

## I. GRAIN BACKSCATTERING COEFFICIENTS

The ultrasonic wave traveling through solids is subject to scattering and absorption which results in energy losses as the wave advances. The intensity of scattering is a nonexplicit function of the average grain diameter, ultrasonic wavelength, inherent anisotropic character of the individual grains, and the random orientation of the crystallites.<sup>11-14</sup> The overall frequency-dependent attenuation coefficient  $\alpha(f)$  is defined as

$$\alpha(f) = \alpha_a(f) + \alpha_s(f), \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_s(f)$  is the scattering coefficient and  $\alpha_a(f)$  is the absorption coefficient. The scattering formulas have been studied and classified into distinct scattering regions<sup>11-14</sup> according to the ratio of the sound wavelength  $\lambda$  to the mean grain diameter  $\bar{D}$ . These scattering regions include: the Rayleigh region, where the sound wavelength is large compared to the mean grain diameter; the stochastic region, where the wavelength is comparable to the mean grain diameter; and the diffusion region, where the mean grain diameter is greater than the wavelength.

In the Rayleigh region, the scattering coefficient varies with the average volume of the grain and the fourth power of the wave frequency, while the absorption coefficient increases linearly with the frequency.<sup>11-13</sup> Therefore, the total attenuation coefficient of ultrasound in the Rayleigh region is expressed as

$$\alpha(f) = a_1 f + a_2 \bar{D}^3 f^4, \quad \lambda > 2\pi\bar{D}, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_1$  is the absorption constant,  $a_2$  is the scattering constant, and  $f$  is the transmitted frequency. In the stochastic region, where the wavelength is approximately equal to the mean grain diameter, the scattering coefficient varies linearly with the mean grain diameter and, as the square of the frequency,

$$\alpha(f) = b_1 f + b_2 \bar{D} f^2, \quad \bar{D} < \lambda < 2\pi\bar{D}, \quad (3)$$

where  $b_1$  represents the absorption constant due to the elastic hysteresis loss, and  $b_2$  is the scattering constant. When the wavelength is smaller than the average grain diameter, the scattering coefficient is independent of the frequency and varies inversely with the average grain diameter:

$$\alpha(f) = c_1 f + c_2 / \bar{D}, \quad \lambda < \bar{D}, \quad (4)$$

where  $c_1$  is the absorption constant and  $c_2$  is the scattering constant. In the diffusion region, where the average grain size is large compared to the wavelength, the attenuation coefficient becomes

$$\alpha(f) = (d_1 f + d_2 f^2) + d_3 / \bar{D}, \quad \lambda \ll \bar{D}, \quad (5)$$

where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  represent the absorption constants due to the elastic hysteresis and the thermoelastic losses, respectively, and  $d_3$  is the scattering constant.

The Rayleigh scattering region, where multiple reflections between the grain boundaries are negligible, is of primary concern in the present experimental applications. For this region, Papadakis<sup>13,14</sup> presents scattering coefficient formulas for both cubic and hexagonal crystals, relating the scattering coefficient to the acoustical properties of the material.

## II. HEURISTIC MODEL OF BACKSCATTERED SIGNAL

The attenuation of the ultrasonic wave is caused in part by the scattering characteristics of grains. This scattered energy propagates in all directions in a random fashion. In the pulse-echo mode operation used here, we are interested in evaluating the backscattered signal (scattering of 180° relative to the direction of transmission). The received signal at time  $\tau$  is due to scatterers in the material at a distance of  $C\tau/2$ , where  $C$  is the propagation velocity of sound (shear or longitudinal) in the medium. Since the scatterers (i.e., grains) are stationary, the amplitude of a detected signal at a fixed time after transmission of a pulse will be constant for fixed transducer position and frequency.

Let us assume that the received signal is due to a large number of statistically independent scattering centers in which the sound wavelength is significantly larger than the size of the scatterers (i.e., Rayleigh region). Furthermore, it is assumed that the scatterers (i.e., grains) are located in the farfield of the transducer, and the incident wave is a pulsed plane wave propagating into the bulk material. The beam spreading over a small range within the material is considered to be insignificant, and the beamwidth is assumed to be much larger than the grain size. Under these assumptions, the backscattered signal is dominated by the sum of echoes reflected from randomly distributed incoherent scatterers.<sup>15,16</sup>

The measured signal  $r(t)$  can be segmented into fixed time intervals,  $r_j(t)$  corresponding to fixed spatial intervals




FIG. 1. A sample under ultrasonic testing for grain size estimation using backscattered echoes. (a) A typical backscattered grain signal corresponding to region  $j$  and  $j + 1$ ; (b) segmented model of the backscattered grain signal.

(i.e.,  $\Delta d = d_{j+1} - d_j$ ) within the sample (for clarity see Fig. 1):

$$r(t) = \sum_{j=1}^q r_j(t), \quad (6)$$

where  $r_j(t)$  represents the signal corresponding to the  $j$ th region and  $q$  is the number of regions. Region  $j$  should typically correspond to a segment of the sample which does not exhibit strong isolated reflectors nor show any influence by the front and back surfaces. In addition, the segment size should be much larger than the average grain size  $\bar{D}$ . For a given region  $j$ , the measured backscattered echoes form a composite signal which may be modeled as<sup>17,18</sup>

$$r_j(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} A_{kj} \langle u_j(t - \tau_{kj}) \rangle; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q, \quad (7)$$

where  $\tau_{kj}$  is a random variable representing the detection time of random echoes such that

$$2d_j/C < \tau_{kj} < 2d_{j+1}/C. \quad (8)$$

The term  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  represents the mean shape of the echo (i.e., impulse response or wavelet) within the  $j$ th region of the sample. The shape of this function is governed by the transfer functions of the ultrasonic pulser, transmitting and receiving transducers, amplifier, and the variable propagation path characteristics. Since the measuring system characteristics are fixed, any change in the ultrasonic wavelet is indicative of the acoustical properties of the propagation path. The amplitude  $A_{kj}$  in Eq. (7) is a random variable representing the average reflection coefficient of scatterers in a region from which echoes are detected after a delay of  $\tau_{kj}$ . Here,  $A_{kj}$  is dependent on the scattering cross section, elastic constant, ultrasonic velocity, and the density of the adjacent grains.<sup>11,15,16</sup> The term  $N_j$  is a random variable representing the total number of scatterers in region  $j$ . The minor interference effect from the grains in the adjacent segments is considered to be negligible. Furthermore, due to the effect of frequency-dependent attenuation, the relationship among the mean wavelet in different regions can be represented as<sup>17</sup>

$$\langle U_{j+1}(\omega) \rangle = e^{-2[\alpha_j(\omega) - i\beta(\omega)]\Delta d} \langle U_j(\omega) \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\omega = 2\pi f, \quad \Delta d = d_{j+1} - d_j, \quad (10)$$

$$\beta(\omega) = \omega/C, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

The term  $\alpha_j(\omega)$  is the attenuation coefficient due to scattering and absorption, and  $\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle$  is the Fourier transform of the mean ultrasonic wavelet.

It is appropriate to point out that Eq. (7) by no means corresponds to the individual grain size or its exact position in the propagation path. However, this is a heuristic model of the composite characteristics of the received signal which inherently contains information related to the acoustical characteristics of that given region. Therefore, it can serve as a source for the systematic investigation of grain size distribution. The variation in the backscattered signal is partially related to the grain size. Hence, the interference pattern of Eq. (7) contains information which yields the average grain size and possibly the grain size distribution. Furthermore,  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  differs from one segment of data to another as the wave travels through the sample, since the high-frequency components attenuate at a faster rate than the lower frequency components [for clarification of this statement, see Eqs. (2) and (9)]. Therefore, determination of  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  by means of signal processing has the potential of providing a measure of the mean grain size distribution. In general, the echo  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  can be approximated as a time-limited rf echo with Gaussian envelope:

$$\langle u_j(t) \rangle \approx \rho_j e^{-t^2/2\sigma_j^2} \cos(\omega_j t). \quad (11)$$

The frequency spectrum of  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  is

$$\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle \propto \rho_j e^{-\sigma_j^2(\omega - \omega_j)^2/2}; \quad \omega \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

where the conditions

$$\omega_j \gg \omega_i; \quad \rho_j \gg \rho_i; \quad \text{when } i > j > 1 \quad (13)$$

generally hold. The assumption that  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  is Gaussian in shape for all  $j$  is too restrictive and may not be precise. Even so, an optimal Gaussian model can always be fitted to the echo shape if no strict limit on the error function is enforced. Inspection of Eq. (9) reveals that materials act like a low-pass filter and their transform functions are inversely proportional to frequency. Therefore, it is more appropriate to rewrite the conditions given in Eq. (13) in terms of the mean frequency  $\langle \omega_j \rangle$  and the wavelet power ( $P_j$ ) as follows:

$$\langle \omega_j \rangle \gg \langle \omega_i \rangle; \quad P_j \gg P_i; \quad \text{when } i > j > 1. \quad (14)$$

Upon estimation of the mean ultrasonic wavelet, it is possible to find the frequency-dependent attenuation coefficient. The magnitude spectrum of Eq. (9) can be defined as

$$|\langle U_{j+1}(\omega) \rangle| = e^{-2\alpha_j(\omega)\Delta d} |\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle|. \quad (15)$$

From above, the attenuation function  $\alpha_j(\omega)$  can be expressed as

$$\alpha_j(\omega) = [\log|\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle| - \log|\langle U_{j+1}(\omega) \rangle|]/2\Delta d. \quad (16)$$

### III. DIGITAL PROCESSING OF THE BACKSCATTERED SIGNAL

The primary objective of this work is to develop appropriate digital processing techniques to evaluate materials of different grain sizes. The principle for the various methods discussed is based on Eqs. (2), (7), (9), (11), and (16). The signal processing techniques are categorized within three distinct groups: time domain analysis, frequency domain analysis, and homomorphic processing.<sup>17</sup>

#### A. Time domain analysis

Time domain analysis consists of the following techniques: the smoothing process, constructing the histogram of the backscattered signal, and estimating the autocorrelation function.

The smoothing process is a simple and practically efficient technique for characterizing random signals such as the backscattered echoes from large grained materials. In fact, for homogeneous and isotropic materials, the concept of ensemble averaging has been used earlier<sup>4,5,10</sup> to obtain similar results. The grain signal is a stochastic process in which randomness is inherent to any single measurement. Consequently, temporal fluctuations contain equivalent information to the random spatial fluctuations. Therefore, it is appropriate to determine the statistical parameters (e.g., mean and variance) of the process from a single measurement, which is far more practical than using multiple measurements. In general, this is a valid approach for stationary random processes for which time averages are identical to their ensemble averages (i.e., ergodic processes).<sup>19</sup>

Temporal averaging is a linear operation and can be represented as

$$\bar{r}(t) = \bar{r}(t) * h(t), \quad (17)$$

where  $\bar{r}(t)$  is the measured signal after rectification and  $h(t)$  is the smoothing function defined as

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 1/\epsilon & |t| \leq \epsilon/2, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

The smoothed output is then defined as

$$\bar{r}(t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{t-\epsilon/2}^{t+\epsilon/2} \bar{r}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (19)$$

Determination of an appropriate  $\epsilon$  is quite important in the performance of a smoothing operation. The results of this study show that  $\epsilon$  on the order of 10.0  $\mu\text{s}$  (which is equivalent to 50 wavelengths for a 5-MHz transducer) provides an exponentially decaying function which is sensitive to grain size variations.

Constructing the probability density function of the rectified received signal amplitude, which is inherently related to the distribution of grains in a given region, is a useful method for evaluating materials of different grain sizes. The most appropriate method for accomplishing this task is to use many statistically independent measurements. This can be achieved by changing the orientation of the transducer for each measurement. From these measurements, the probability distribution function can be constructed at each time instant. Alternatively, if we assume ergodicity, the distribution

function can be obtained by constructing the histogram of the rectified instantaneous signal amplitude from a given time segment. In the histogram analysis, parameters such as mean, mode, standard deviation, and skewness are considered.

Finally, for the purpose of completeness, the autocorrelation function of the measured grain signal was investigated. The autocorrelation function is defined as

$$R_{rr}(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_0^{\Delta t} r(\tau)r(\tau-t)d\tau, \quad (20)$$

where  $\Delta t$  is the duration of correlation. In general, this function responds to any possible periodicity in a structure by displaying strong peaks. However, it is necessary to point out that the autocorrelation function was found to be of little value since grains exhibit no periodicity.

#### B. Spectral analysis

Spectral analysis is a useful technique in which certain features hidden in the time domain can be displayed. These features are basically related to the presence or absence of energy in specific bands. In this section, the spectral method based on the magnitude spectra of grain characteristic functions is discussed.

The spectrum of the measured data corresponding to a given segment can be obtained by Fourier transforming Eq. (7):

$$R_j(\omega) = G_j(\omega) \langle U_j(\omega) \rangle, \quad (21)$$

where

$$G_j(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} A_{kj} e^{-j\omega\tau_{kj}} \quad (22)$$

is the Fourier transform of the grain characteristic function for region  $j$ . The magnitude of  $\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle$  is a smooth Gaussian shaped function. However, the term  $|G_j(\omega)|$  contains many peaks and valleys which are random. This term modulates and distorts the magnitude spectrum of  $\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle$ , which also bears features related to frequency-dependent attenuation characteristics of the material. Recovery of the true  $\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle$  from the measured  $R_j(\omega)$  is difficult, and the possibility of large error in its estimation cannot be ruled out (the technique of echo recovery and its evaluation is the subject of Sec. III C). Therefore, one needs to characterize the sample by  $R_j(\omega)$  without having to determine  $\langle U_j(\omega) \rangle$ .

Partial identification of  $|R_j(\omega)|$  can be obtained by moments. In this study, for the purpose of emphasizing or deemphasizing certain behavior of the magnitude spectrum, the following generalized definition for moments<sup>17</sup> is given:

$$M_{KL} = \int_0^{\infty} \omega^K |R_j(\omega)|^L d\omega, \quad (23)$$

when  $L = 1$  and  $K$  is a positive integer, then  $M_{1K}$  is the  $K$ th moment of the magnitude spectrum according to the common definition of moments. In general,  $K$  and  $L$  can be any real number. The usefulness of the above equation becomes apparent only if it shows enough sensitivity to an unknown physical characteristic such as the grain size. The larger the

$K$ , the more weight is given to the higher frequencies of the magnitude spectrum. On the other hand, for smaller  $K$  values, the contribution of low-frequency components to the value of  $M_{KL}$  is significant relative to higher frequency components.

The index  $L$  acts quite differently from index  $K$ . For large values of  $L$ , peaks of  $|R_j(\omega)|$  will have a greater contribution to the value of  $M_{KL}$ . In contrast, if  $L$  becomes very small, there will be minimum variation in the moment values due to variation of peaks. It is necessary to point out that, for random peaks such as those in the case of grain signals, the exact usefulness of generalized moments is not clear. However, empirical evaluations will be the basis for determining its capability.

Equation (23) must be normalized in order to be independent of amplitude variations in the measurements. This can be achieved by

$$\bar{M}_{KL} = \left( \int_0^\infty \omega^K |R_j(\omega)|^L d\omega \right) / \left( \int_0^\infty |R_j(\omega)|^L d\omega \right) \quad (24)$$

when  $K = 1$  and  $L = 2$ ,

$$\bar{M}_{12} = \left( \int_0^\infty \omega |R_j(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right) / \left( \int_0^\infty |R_j(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right). \quad (25)$$

In the literature, the above equation is referred to as the power spectrum centroid which has been widely used in Doppler radars, sonar systems, and ultrasonic flowmeters.

### C. Homomorphic processing

The backscattered echoes from a given region of the target (e.g.,  $j$ th region) can be modeled as the convolution of the mean echo wave shape and the impulse response of the target (i.e., grain characteristic function)

$$r_j(t) = \langle u_j(t) \rangle * \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} A_{kj} \delta(t - \tau_{kj}). \quad (26)$$

The above equation is identical to Eq. (7).

Comparison of  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  with  $\langle u_{j+1}(t) \rangle$  can potentially reveal information about the regional characteristics of the target and, consequently, the grain size. In practice,  $\langle u_j(t) \rangle$  can only be extracted from  $r_j(t)$ . This presents the problem of echo recovery by some means of signal processing. The mean echo wavelet can be extracted from the measured signal through homomorphic signal processing (a branch of nonlinear signal processing that utilizes Fourier transform and logarithmic operations to convert convolutional signals to additive form, which can be decomposed more conveniently<sup>20-22</sup>). The homomorphic wavelet recovery system (also known as homomorphic deconvolution or cepstrum system) is shown in Fig. 2. As shown in this figure, the grain signal is Fourier transformed in order to determine the magnitude spectrum. Next, the logarithmic operator is applied to convert the multiplicative relationship between the mean echo wavelet and the grain impulse response to the additive relationship:

$$\log |R_j(\omega)| = \log \langle U_j(\omega) \rangle + \log |G_j(\omega)|. \quad (27)$$

The inverse Fourier transform of Eq. (27) results in the grain signal power cepstrum,  $\hat{r}_j(t)$ . The spectrum of the mean echo wavelet is approximately Gaussian shaped in the




FIG. 2. The homomorphic wavelet recovery system.

frequency domain and its power cepstrum  $\langle \hat{u}_j(t) \rangle$  has a time width which is shorter than the power cepstrum of the grain impulse response. Therefore, when a shortpass lifter (i.e., time-gating operation in cepstrum domain which is analogous to low-pass filtering in the frequency domain) of duration equivalent to echo duration is applied to the grain signal power cepstrum, the power cepstrum of the wavelet can be recovered. Finally, the Fourier transform of the power cepstrum of the wavelet will result in  $\log \langle U_j(\omega) \rangle$ .

The performance of cepstrally smoothing the magnitude spectrum of the measured signal is shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) shows a typical grain signal which is segmented into two regions,  $j$  and  $j + 1$ . The magnitude spectra of these




FIG. 3. (a) A typical grain signal; (b) and (c) magnitude spectra of regions  $j$  and  $j + 1$ , respectively; (d) and (e) cepstral smoothing of magnitude spectra of regions  $j$  and  $j + 1$ , respectively.

two regions are shown in Fig. 3(b) and (c). The nonlinear processing discussed above is applied to obtain the magnitude spectrum as shown in Fig. 3(d) and (e). Further, the recovered magnitude spectrum of the wavelets are used to estimate the frequency-dependent attenuation as described in Eq. (16).

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The object of this work is to evaluate the grain size variation in solids when other physical parameters (e.g., crystal shape, elastic constants, density, and velocities) remain constant. These assumptions allow us to accurately interpret the measurements resulting from the grain size variation. In this study, 2-in.-diam stainless steel rods (type 303) were heat treated to obtain various grain sizes. The stainless steel (SS) samples initially had a mean grain size of about  $25\mu$ . Empirically, it was found that grain size does not change significantly by heat treatment for temperatures below  $1300^\circ\text{C}$ . However, temperatures between  $1300^\circ\text{C}$  and  $1400^\circ\text{C}$  will change the grain size several fold. The stainless steel samples were heat treated for roughly 1 h. After completion of the

heat treatment process, the samples were quenched in water bath.

Micrographs of the heat-treated samples are shown in Fig. 4. The grain size of the heat-treated samples was analyzed by the intercept method,<sup>23</sup> which resulted in micrographic grain size estimates of  $86$  and  $160\mu$  for the  $1350^\circ\text{C}$  and  $1387^\circ\text{C}$  samples, respectively. The grain size estimates given here correspond to the average grain boundary spacing. However, in the literature, calculations related to scattering are often based on the average grain diameter. A linear relationship exists between the two definitions,<sup>23</sup> given by  $\bar{D} = k\bar{L}$  where  $\bar{D}$  is the average grain diameter,  $\bar{L}$  is the average grain boundary spacing (intercept method), and  $k$  is a constant multiplier with approximate values between 1.5 and 2.25, depending on the grain shape. This clearly shows that the average grain diameter is an ambiguous term unless the grain shape is known, which is rarely the case. Therefore, it is preferable to define the grain size in terms of the average grain boundary spacing  $\bar{L}$ . However, the average grain diameter may be approximated as twice the average grain boundary spacing for comparison purposes. Using a factor of two, the average grain diameters for SS, SS at  $1350^\circ\text{C}$ , and SS at  $1387^\circ\text{C}$  are  $50$ ,  $172$ , and  $320\mu$ , respectively.

The experimental grain signal measurements were accomplished using a Gamma type transducer manufactured by K-B Aerotech with approximately a 6-MHz center frequency and a 3-dB bandwidth of approximately 1.5 MHz. This transducer exhibits a high sensitivity which allows it to detect echoes from greater depths in the sample. The transducer is excited by a pulser generating pulses of width on the




FIG. 4. Micrographic results of stainless steel samples. (a) Stainless steel sample (SS) without heat treatment; (b) stainless steel sample heat treated at  $1350^\circ\text{C}$  (SS-1350); and (c) stainless steel sample heat treated at  $1387^\circ\text{C}$  (SS-1387). The average grain diameter for SS, SS-1350, and SS-1387 are  $50$ ,  $172$ , and  $320\mu\text{m}$ , respectively.




FIG. 5. Grain signal from stainless steel samples.





FIG. 6. Temporal averaging of stainless steel grain signals.





FIG. 7. Envelope of autocorrelation functions of stainless steel grain signals.






FIG. 8. Histogram of backscattered grain signals. Solid line: region  $j$ , dashed line: region  $j + 1$ .

order of a few nanoseconds. The reflected echo is amplified by a broadband rf receiver. The pulse repetition rate is about 200 pulses per second which prevents any range ambiguity. A range gate enables the selection of a particular segment of the reflected signal for processing. The measured data are sampled at 50 MHz using a Biomation 8100 transient recorder. Each experimental data set contains 1024 points which is equivalent to  $20.48 \mu\text{s}$ . Coherent averaging is applied in order to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the measurement. Measurements are performed using the contact method in which the transducer is acoustically coupled to the sample with couplant jelly. The measured signal consists only of grain echoes from the bulk material, excluding any sample boundary echoes. Figure 5 shows typical

TABLE I. Statistical parameters corresponding to the histograms of grain signals.

	SS-1387		SS-1350		SS	
	Region $j$	Region $j + 1$	Region $j$	Region $j + 1$	Region $j$	Region $j + 1$
Mean	0.35	0.29	0.40	0.30	0.44	0.34
Mode	0.50	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.20
s.d.	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.12	0.23	0.17
SK	-0.83	0.63	0.77	0.41	0.81	0.82

grain signals from the original stainless steel (SS) sample as well as the heat-treated samples at temperatures of 1350 °C (SS-1350) and 1387 °C (SS-1387). Although differences between these composite signals exist, they are not readily quantifiable.

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The processing algorithms described earlier have been applied to stainless steel grain signals. The grain signals shown in Fig. 5 are time averaged, resulting in plots shown in Fig. 6. Time averaging is obtained by a smoothing function [see Eqs. (18) and (19)] which is rectangular and has a duration of 10.24  $\mu$ s. The resulting smoothed function is normalized such that its initial amplitude is unity. Since SS-1350 and SS-1387 have larger grain sizes than SS, variation in their decaying characteristics is also apparent. It is assumed these signals decay exponentially, governed by the attenuation resulting from scattering at the grain boundaries. This attenuation coefficient (referred to as the apparent attenuation coefficient) can be obtained by a simple linear regression model between the log of the smoothed function and distance. The apparent attenuation coefficients for SS, SS-1350, and SS-1387 are 0.027, 0.061, and 0.054, respectively. Results show that there is hardly any noticeable difference between the smoothed results of SS-1350 and SS-1387 although their grain sizes differ by almost a factor of two. This is possibly due to the fact that the grain size of SS-1387 is slightly above the upper limit of the Rayleigh scattering region (i.e., stochastic region) where multiple scattering effects can be significant. The overall evaluation of the results obtained in this study demonstrates the feasibility of time averaging for grain size characterization.

Autocorrelation functions for stainless steel grain signals are presented in Fig. 7. Since no regular pattern or periodicity exists in the grain structure of the samples, the envelope of the autocorrelation functions reveals no information about the grain size or its distribution.

In time domain analysis, an alternative and certainly practical evaluation of the backscattered grain signals is the determination of the histograms of the rectified received signal amplitude. Histograms of the segmented stainless steel grain signals are shown in Fig. 8. In addition, the statistical parameters of the distributions are shown in Table I. Graphical comparison of these histograms reveals that relative changes between the two regions of the signal depend on the scattering and attenuation characteristics of the grains. For example, due to attenuation, the histograms of region  $j + 1$  for both SS-1387 and SS-1350 display a shift toward the lower amplitudes compared to the histograms of region  $j$ .

However, because of the smaller average grain size and correspondingly reduced attenuation, the shift in the amplitude distribution is less apparent for sample SS. For both regions  $j$  and  $j + 1$ , the amplitude distribution of sample SS is clearly broader than the corresponding distributions of samples SS-1350 and SS-1387, as evident in Fig. 8. Furthermore, evaluation of the statistical parameters (i.e., mean, mode, standard deviation, and skewness), as shown in Table I, especially the values of mean and standard deviation, suggests similar conclusions.

Moment analysis is applied to the magnitude spectra for  $K = 1,2,3$  and  $L = 1,2,3,4$ . For the present experimental data, careful examination of the moment values reveals that no specific feature in the magnitude spectrum (e.g., a forbidden band of frequency or an enlarged frequency component) exists. Therefore, no unusual value is observed (either very large or very small) for the moments of higher order of weighting [see Eq. (24)]. In addition, a noticeable correlation exists among moments corresponding to a given data segment. Therefore, it becomes evident that one moment [e.g., power spectrum centroid; see Eq. (25)] is adequate for quantizing the magnitude spectrum.

Power spectrum centroids of stainless steel grain signals are shown in Table II. Relative changes in each data seem minor and inadequate for an appropriate conclusion. Nevertheless, it has been observed that the mean frequency of the signal decreases as the grain size increases. For example, the power spectrum centroids for SS, SS-1350, and SS-1387 are 7.10, 6.85, and 6.60 MHz, respectively. These results support the concept that the expected signal frequency decreases as grain size increases.

The effect of frequency on the attenuation coefficient in the stainless steel samples is obtained by cepstrally smoothing the magnitude spectrum of the segmented data and applying Eq. (16). These results are presented in Fig. 9. The SS-1387 sample has the largest attenuation coefficient which appears to be constant within the 5.5- to 7.5-MHz range, as shown in the figure. The SS-1350 sample shows a relatively constant attenuation coefficient and its value is lower than the attenuation coefficient of the SS-1387 sample. Finally, the SS sample has grain size well in the Rayleigh region and,

TABLE II. Power spectrum centroids of the stainless steel grain signals.

	Entire signal	Region $j$	Region $j + 1$
SS	7.10	7.25	6.98
SS-1350	6.85	6.87	6.93
SS-1387	6.60	6.65	6.65




FIG. 9. Dependence of the attenuation coefficient on frequency in stainless steel grain signals.

as expected, has the lowest attenuation coefficient, which displays a clear dependence on frequency. Present results clearly support that the attenuation coefficient measured by the cepstrum technique can be potentially useful in grain size evaluation. The application of the cepstrum technique to grain size evaluation is a novel approach introduced in this work for the first time for ultrasonic grain size measurements.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

The results presented in this paper indicate that various signal processing techniques can be utilized in ultrasonic grain size evaluation. Specifically, a new technique is introduced for grain size estimation which utilizes homomorphic signal processing. All techniques are concerned with removing the randomness in the backscattered signal and extracting parameters related to the frequency-dependent attenuation coefficient and, consequently, to the grain size. Although we have demonstrated the feasibility of the proposed techniques for grain size characterization, the applicability and reproducibility of the techniques to a broad range of large grained materials deserve further investigation.

<sup>1</sup>E. E. Aldridge, "The Estimation of Grain Size in Metals," in *Non-Destructive Testing*, edited by X. Egerton, Harwell Post-Graduate Series (Oxford U. P., Oxford, 1969).

- <sup>2</sup>R. L. Roderick and R. Truell, "The Measurement of Ultrasonic Attenuation in Solids by the Pulse Technique and Some Results in Steel," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **23**, 267 (1952).
- <sup>3</sup>J. Krautkramer and H. Krautkramer, *Ultrasonic Testing of Materials* (Springer, New York, 1977).
- <sup>4</sup>K. Goebbels, "Structure Analysis by Scattered Ultrasonic Radiation," in *Research Techniques in Nondestructive Testing*, edited by R. Sharpe (Academic, New York, 1980).
- <sup>5</sup>D. Beecham, "Ultrasonic Scatter in Metals: its Properties and its Application to Grain Size Determination," *Ultrasonics* **4**, 67-76 (1966).
- <sup>6</sup>B. Fay, "Theoretical Consideration of Ultrasonic Backscattering," *Acustica* **28**, 354-357 (1973).
- <sup>7</sup>B. Fay, K. Brendel, and G. Ludwig, "Studies of Inhomogeneous Substances by Ultrasonic Backscattering," *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **2**, 195-198 (1976).
- <sup>8</sup>K. Goebbels and P. Holler, "Quantitative Determination of Grain Size by Means of Scattered Ultrasound," *Proceedings of the 8th World Conference on NDT* (1976), pp. 1-7.
- <sup>9</sup>K. Goebbels and P. Holler, "Quantitative Determination of Grain Size and Detection of Inhomogeneities in Steel by Ultrasonic Backscattering Measurements," in *Ultrasonic Materials Characterization*, edited by H. Berger and M. Linzer (National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, MD, 1980), Special Publication 596, pp. 67-74.
- <sup>10</sup>S. Kraus and K. Goebbels, "Improvement of Signal-to-Noise Ratio for the Ultrasonic Testing of Coarse Grained Materials Characterization," edited by H. Berger and M. Linzer (National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, MD, 1980), Special Publication 596, pp. 551-559.
- <sup>11</sup>A. Bhatia, "Scattering of High-Frequency Sound Waves in Polycrystalline Materials," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **31**, 16-23 (1959).
- <sup>12</sup>W. P. Mason and H. I. McSkimin, "Attenuation and Scattering of High-Frequency Sound Waves in Metals and Glasses," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **19**, 464-473 (1947).
- <sup>13</sup>E. P. Papadakis, "Revised Grain Scattering Formulas and Tables," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **37**, 703-710 (1965).
- <sup>14</sup>E. P. Papadakis, "Ultrasonic Attenuation Caused by Scattering in Polycrystalline Metals," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **37**, 711-717 (1965).
- <sup>15</sup>R. C. Chivers, "The Scattering of Ultrasound by Human Tissues—Some Theoretical Models," *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **3**, 1-13 (1977).
- <sup>16</sup>P. M. Morse and K. V. Ingard, *Theoretical Acoustics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968).
- <sup>17</sup>J. Saniie, "Ultrasonic Signal Processing: System Identification and Parameter Estimation of Reverberant and Inhomogeneous Targets," Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University, 1981.
- <sup>18</sup>J. Saniie and N. M. Bilgutay, "Ultrasonic Grain Size Evaluation of Heat-treated Stainless Steel Samples," in *Review of Progress in Quantitative NDE*, edited by D. O. Thompson and D. E. Chimenti (Plenum, New York, 1985), Vol. 48, pp. 939-946.
- <sup>19</sup>A. Papoulis, *Probability and Stochastic Process* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- <sup>20</sup>A. V. Oppenheim and R. W. Schaffer, *Digital Signal Processing* (Prentice-Hall, Princeton, NJ, 1975).
- <sup>21</sup>T. J. Ulrych, "Application of Homomorphic Deconvolution to Seismology," *Geophysics* **36**, 650-660 (1971).
- <sup>22</sup>D. G. Childers, D. P. Skinner, and R. C. Kemerait, "The Cepstrum: A Guide to Processing," *Proc. IEEE* **65**, 1428-1443 (1977).
- <sup>23</sup>J. E. Hilliard, "Grain Size Estimation by the Intercept Method," Northwestern University, Dept. of Materials Science and Materials Research Center (Internal Report), November 1963.